


**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**


IN RE FACEBOOK, INC. IPO SECURITIES
AND DERIVATIVE LITIGATION



MDL No. 12-2389 (RWS)

This document relates to the
Consolidated Securities Action:

- No. 12-cv-4081
- No. 12-cv-4099
- No. 12-cv-4131
- No. 12-cv-4150
- No. 12-cv-4157
- No. 12-cv-4184
- No. 12-cv-4194
- No. 12-cv-4215
- No. 12-cv-4252
- No. 12-cv-4291
- No. 12-cv-4312
- No. 12-cv-4332
- No. 12-cv-4360
- No. 12-cv-4362
- No. 12-cv-4551
- No. 12-cv-4648
- No. 12-cv-4763
- No. 12-cv-4777
- No. 12-cv-5511
- No. 12-cv-7542
- No. 12-cv-7543
- No. 12-cv-7544
- No. 12-cv-7545
- No. 12-cv-7546
- No. 12-cv-7547
- No. 12-cv-7548
- No. 12-cv-7550
- No. 12-cv-7551
- No. 12-cv-7552
- No. 12-cv-7586
- No. 12-cv-7587



**[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATION
OF NET SETTLEMENT FUND**

This matter came on for hearing on September 5, 2018 (the "Settlement Hearing") on Lead Plaintiffs' motion to determine whether the proposed plan of allocation of the Net Settlement Fund (the "Plan of Allocation") created by the Settlement achieved in the above-captioned class action (the "Action") should be approved. The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; and it appearing that notice of the Settlement Hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was mailed to all Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort, and that a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the form

approved by the Court was published in *Investor's Business Daily* and transmitted over *PR Newswire* and *CNW Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the proposed Plan of Allocation,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated as of February 26, 2018 (the "Stipulation"), and all capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order and over the subject matter of the Action and all parties to the Action, including all Class Members.

3. Notice of Lead Plaintiffs' motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation was given to all Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Class of the motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation satisfied the notice requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), and Section 27 of the Securities Act of 1933, 15 U.S.C. §77z-1(a)(7), as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "PSLRA"); constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances; and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Persons entitled thereto.

4. Copies of the Settlement Notice, which included the Plan of Allocation, were mailed to more than 1,387,738 potential Class Members and nominees. No objections to the Plan of Allocation have been received.

5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation mailed to Class Members provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund among Class Members with due consideration having been given to administrative


convenience and necessity.

6. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation is, in all respects, fair and reasonable to the Class.

7. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11-26, 2018



The Honorable Robert W. Sweet
United States District Judge